



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Group Art Unit -- 2128
Examiner -- Frejd, Russell Warren

December 27, 2006

In re Application of Carl R. Crandall et al.
Title: Offline Emulated Input/Output Processor Debugger

Allowed: September 27, 2006
File No.: RA 5570 (USYS.068PA)

Serial No.: 10/729,537
Filed: December 5, 2003

Customer No.: 27516

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE
Commissioner for Patents
P O Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUBJECT: ISSUE FEE FOR RA 5570 (USYS.068PA)

Dear Sir:

Please charge Deposit Account No. 19-3790 in the sum of \$1,400.00 to cover payment of the Issue Fee and also the sum of \$3.00 to cover the cost of the 1 extra copy of the patent which was allowed on September 27, 2006.

Also enclosed is the "Address Fee" Indication Form and Comments on Statement for Reason of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles A. Johnson
Attorney for Applicant
Unisys Corporation (MS 4773)
P O Box 64942
St. Paul, MN 55164-0942
Reg. No.: 20,852
Tel. No.: (651) 635-7702

CAJ/eav

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: MS Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P O Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on December 27, 2006.

Charles A. Johnson
Attorney for Applicants

Signature

December 27, 2006
Date of Signature



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Group Art Unit -- 2128
Examiner -- Frejd, Russell Warren

December 27, 2006

In re Application of Carl R. Crandall et al.
Title: Offline Emulated Input/Output Processor
Debugger
Serial No.: 10/729,537

Filed: 12/5/03
Allowed: 9/27/06
File No.: RA 5570 (USYS.068PA)
Customer # 27516

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P O Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Examiner's statement of Reasons for Allowance implies that the claimed invention was allowed because the prior art did not disclose specific elements. The elements characterized by the Examiner, however, even if found in the prior art, would not render the claimed invention invalid under 35 USC §102 because the claimed invention includes a number of limitations not addressed in the Reasons for Allowance. With respect to 35 USC §103, the requirements of establishing a *prima facie* case of obviousness including (1) a showing that the prior art teaches the entire claimed invention where all limitations are to be considered, and (2) that combining various prior art references is (a) suggested in the art and (b) there would be motivation to make the combination, with a likelihood of success, have not been satisfied.

Unless otherwise advised, these comments are intended, to be clarifying in a manner consistent with the law.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles A. Johnson
Attorney for Applicant
Unisys Corporation (MS 4773)
P O Box 64942
St. Paul, MN 55164-0942
Reg. No.: 20,852
Tel. No.: (651) 635-7702

CAJ/eav

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: MS Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P O Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on December 27, 2006.

Charles A. Johnson
Attorney for Applicants

Signature

December 27, 2006
Date of Signature